

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/621,310	07/18/2003		Hideo Mizuta	2003_0974A	2531
513	7590 06/28/2006			EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.				JEANGLAUDE, JEAN BRUNER	
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021				2819	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

06092006

WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON DC 20006-1021

JUN 26 2006

In re Application of MIZUTA, Hideo

Application No. 10/621,310

Filed: July 18, 2003

Title: MAGNETIC ENCODER

**DECISION ON PETITION** 

This is a decision on the petition filed October 6, 2004, requesting that the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application be withdrawn.

The petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment is **GRANTED**.

An Ex Parte Quayle Office action was mailed on March 23, 2004, setting a two-month period of response. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed September 28, 2004.

Petitioner asserts that a response titled "Response to Ex Parte Quayle Action" and a Petition for Extension of Time with the appropriate fee was timely filed in the Patent and Trademark Office on September 23, 2004. This is evidenced by a complete copy of the previously filed response titled "Response to Ex Parte Quayle Action," a copy of the Petition for Extension of Time and a copy of the of the date-stamped postcard receipt indicating receipt of the response in the Patent and Trademark Office on September 23, 2004.

The review of the application file reveals that the response to the May 23, 2004 Office action was received and matched with the file. However, the response was not noticed by either the examiner or the technical support staff and consequently the application was held to be abandoned. The application is not abandoned in fact.

For the above reasons, the Notice of Abandonment is hereby vacated and the holding of abandonment withdrawn. Inconvenience to petitioner is regretted.

The application file is being forwarded to the technical support staff for processing the response to the March 23, 2004 Office action. From there, it will be returned to the examiner for further prosecution.

Questions regarding this decision should be directed to Jose' G. Dees at 571-272-1569.

Richard K. Seidel, Director

Technology Center 2800

Semiconductors, Electrical and Optical

Systems and Components